2 24 200 6 2 2

Bell Atlantic 1300 J Street, N.W. Suite 400 West Washington, DC 20005

Gerald Asch Director Federal Regulatory 202 336-7844 Fax 202 336-7866

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

August 31, 1999

Ms. Magalie R. Salas

Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

The Portals

445 12th Street S.W.

TW-A325 - 12th Street Lobby

Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

AUG 3 1 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re:

Ex Parte

CC Docket 98-137-In the Matter of 1998 Biennial Review-Review of Depreciation
Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and ASD Docket No. 98-81, USTA
Petition for Forbearance from Depreciation Regulation

Dear Ms. Salas:

On August 31, 1999 Edward Googe and the undersigned representing Bell Atlantic met with Ms. Linda Kinney of Commissioner Ness's Office to discuss the above referenced proceedings.

The discussion reflected the positions that Bell Atlantic had provided in their written comments and reply already on the record. A copy of the discussion guide used during the meeting is attached.

An original and a copy of this Ex Parte is being filed in the office of the Secretary on August 31,1999. Please include it in the public record of the above referenced proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald as

Gerald Asch

Attachment

cc: Ms. Kinney w/o Attachment

No. of Copies res'd 0 + List ABCDE

DÉPRECIATION FORBEARANCE

BELL ATLANTIC EX PARTE

8/31/99

CC Docket No. 98-137

ASD 98-81

FORBEARANCE IS REQUIRED NOW

INTRODUCTION:

- PURE PRICE CAPS
- SECTION 10(a) REQUIRES FORBEARANCE
- LECS HAVE ADDRESSED PARAGRAPH 6
- FORBEARANCE # COMPLETE
- DEREGULATION"
- GAAP PRINCIPLES
- FCC DEPRECIATION REGULATION IS NOT NEEDED BY STATE COMMISSIONS

PURE PRICE CAPS

SHARING AND COMPETITION CONCERNS EXPRESSED IN 1993 NO LONGER RELEVANT

LECs Under Pure Price Caps

"Many—but by no means all —of the fundamental prerequisites for fully competitive, deregulated local telecommunications markets are now in place. (A New FCC for the 21st Century, Draft Strategic Plan, p. 5 released 8/99).

PURE PRICE CAPS, CONT'D

DEPRECIATION HAS NO IMPACT ON DAY TO DAY RATE LEVELS

- Endogenous
- No Relation Between Accounting Earnings and
- Productivity (Statement of Professor Frank M.
- Gollop, Attachment B to USTA Comments)
- Per Depreciation Simplification, Commission should "reevaluate its decision" to retain depreciation regulation

FORBEARANCE REQUIRED BY SECTIONS 10(a) & 115

- DEPRECIATION REGULATION NO LONGER NECESSARY TO ENSURE REASONABLE RATES
- FORBEARANCE IS IN PUBLIC INTEREST
 - ELIMINATES REGULATORY COSTS
 - HELPS TO MAINTAIN INCENTIVE FOR LECS TO INVEST IN LOCAL NETWORKS
 - FULFILLS CONGRESS'S COMPETITIVE VISION "[ensures] there are economically rational options for those wanting to enter the market" (Remarks of Chairman Kennard to Legg Mason, 3/12/98)
- "CONSISTENT WITH POLICY INITIATIVE TO "consider additional areas that may be appropriate for forbearance..." (Draft Strategic Plan, p. 11)

Bell Atlantic 8/31/99 Ex Parte Depreciation Forbearance

PARAGRAPH 6 CONCERNS

LFAM

ABOVE-CAP FILING

X' FACTOR

UNIVERSAL SERVICE

FCC retains authority to review LEC depreciation practices

Stringent cost showing already required. [47 C.F.R., 61.49(e), 61.46 (c) and 61.47 (d)]

Does not vary with changes in depreciation levels

GAAP is forward looking and thus consistent with Commission measure of universal service; GAAP would eliminate mismatch between ILECs and CLECs

CONCERNS, CONT'D

 \mathbf{BFP}

Per line revenue option is available; does not determine overall level of rate recovery

EXOGENOUS COSTS

Depreciation is endogenous; FCC has used revenues, rather than costs, to determine exogenous costs adjustments

UNE PRICES

FCC and some state commissions have endorsed use of economic depreciation; other states are free to set own depreciation

FORBEARANCÉ Z COMPLETE DEREGULATION

- FCC AUDIT AUTHORITY
- TARIFF REVIEW
- FORMAL COMPLAINT
- INDEPENDENT AUDITS
- NEW ENFORCEMENT BUREAU
 - One of FCC's core goals will include enforcement and promotion of competitive markets (Draft Strategic Plan, p. 3)
 - Role of prospective regulation should decrease

GAAP PRINCIPLES

MATCHING

CONSISTENCY IN REPORTING

CONSERVATISM

SAME DEPRECIATION RULES FOR ALL

GAAP - V = FCC (Millions)

GAAP

FCC

RESERVES 4

42,422

36,712

ACCRUALS

5,016

5,081

Bell Atlantic 8/31/99 Ex Parte Depreciation Forbearance

STATE COMMISSIONS NO LONGER RELY ON FOC

- ONLY TWO COMMISSIONS FILED COMMENTS
 - FCC action should not affect authority of state regulators over intrastate operations (FPSC at 9)

 Forbearance would not interfere with state responsibilities (VSCC comments at 5)
- State Commissions Have Been Progressive

 Many States Have Adopted GAAP (VSCC

 Comments at 3; BellSouth Comments at 6; GTE

 Comments at 14)

STATE REGULATORY STATUS

		Some Lives	Alternative	Ability	Requires	
	The same of the sa	Below FCC	Lagges 1 ver	to Set	FGC "	Control of the second of the s
	State	Ranges	Plan (ARP)	Rates	Participation	
						Required to filed Annual Report and Service Life !!!
	Pennsylvania	Yes	Yes	Yes	No · ·	Study triennially.
	New Jersey	Yes	Yes	Yes	No.	No formal state requirements.
1	Delaware	No	Yes	Yes	No	No formal state requirements
	Virginia	'lli' Yes	Yes	Yes		ARP requires monitorling
	West Virginia	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No formal state requirements.
	Maryland days	Yes	Yes	Yes 🐠	No.	No formal state requirements.
	Washington	Yes	Yes	Yes	i. g "No 🧺	No formal state requirements.
	New York	ii' Yes. ii	Yes	!Yes	Nomb	ARP sets depreciation floor.
1				*		ARP allows for flexibility in setting new rates and
	Massachusetts'	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	requires lives to be no longer than FCC lives!
1	Maine	'Ÿes	Yes	Yes	No	ARP allows for flexibility in setting new rates.
1						Under rate of return regulation and continues to
	New Hampshire	Yes	No	No '	No	follow traditional depreciation practices
*	The same of the sa	188	3112	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1	Under rate of return regulation and continues to
1	Vermont	Yes	No	No	No.	follow traditional depreciation practices:
× I						ARP allows flexibility and requires composite in the
	Rhode Island	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	depreciation rate not below the 1/1/94 rate.
						Under rate of return regulation and continues to
-	Connecticut	No	No	No	No	follow traditional depreciation practices.
200	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	医海黄素 化工业的 化二氯甲二溴苯萘酚	t 1 ·	195	1	n 1 1 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14

CONCLUSION

FORBEARANCE

IS MANDATORY PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 10(a) & 11

WILL PROMOTE COMPETITION

"Eliminating outdated rules will play an important role in accelerating the transition to fully competitive markets." (Draft Strategic Plan, p. 14)

WILL NOT HINDER OTHER FCC RESPONSIBILITIES

GAAP AND OTHER CONTROLS REMAIN IN PLACE